

CUSC Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma**CMP334: Transmission Demand Residual – consequential definition changes (TCR)**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com by **5pm on 6 July 2020**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Panel.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation please contact Paul Mullen at paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com or cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com.

Respondent details	Please enter your details
Respondent name:	Tony McEntee
Company name:	Electricity North West
Email address:	Tony.mcentee@enwl.co.uk
Phone number:	07500 819503

For reference the applicable CUSC non-charging objectives are:

Relevant Objective
(a) The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;
(b) Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;
(c) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and
(d) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.
*Objective (c) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Code Administrator Consultation questions		
1	Do you believe that CMP334 Original proposal or WACM1 better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Non-Charging Objectives?	Original
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	Yes
3	Do you have any other comments?	No