

CUSC Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma**CMP334: Transmission Demand Residual – consequential definition changes (TCR)**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com by **5pm on 6 July 2020**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Panel.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation please contact Paul Mullen at paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com or cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com.

Respondent details	Please enter your details
Respondent name:	Karl Maryon
Company name:	Haven Power Ltd
Email address:	karl.maryon@havenpower.com
Phone number:	075 1342 7447

For reference the applicable CUSC non-charging objectives are:

Relevant Objective
(a) The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;
(b) Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;
(c) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and
(d) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.
*Objective (c) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Code Administrator Consultation questions		
1	Do you believe that CMP334 Original proposal or WACM1 better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Non-Charging Objectives?	<p>We believe WACM1 better facilitates Applicable CUSC Non-Charging Objectives compared with both the baseline arrangements and the original proposal.</p> <p>WACM 1 provides CUSC definitions in line with the Authority TCR SCR direction, specifically paragraphs 14, 15 and 16. These paragraphs outline the scope and intent of the direction in defining 'final demand', 'site' and the exclusion from Transmission Demand Residual charges. In doing so WACM 1 is positive with respect to Applicable Objective (A): <i>The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence</i>.</p> <p>We agree with the majority workgroup view that the wider definition in WACM 1 better reflects the intent of paragraph 15 of the TCR direction than the original.</p> <p>The WACM 1 definition excludes Single Sites that import Active Power solely to provide voltage support, as well as Generation and storage sites, from TDR charges. We believe this definition will better facilitate the Applicable Objective (D), helping to ensure that network costs are recovered fairly and efficiently from network users.</p> <p>Finally, we also believe that WACM1 has a positive impact on Applicable Objective (B) - <i>Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity</i>. Harmful distortions are less likely as the definition is clearer and WACM1 also ensures a consistent and level playing field which promotes fairness and competition over and above the Original proposal.</p>
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	<p>No.</p> <p>We believe the proposed implementation approach is put at risk by the proposed implementation date of April 2022. As highlighted in our response to Q3 we believe the programme should be reset with an implementation date not earlier than April 2023.</p>

3	Do you have any other comments?	<p>Whilst we support the implementation of WACM1, we still have concerns around implementing the TCR in April 2022. We are currently in the middle of a global pandemic and we believe our business customers will find the disruption caused by implementing the TCR difficult to absorb within the proposed timescales when we eventually emerge from this crisis.</p> <p>We believe it would be beneficial to align implementation of the TCR programme with delivery of the Access and Forward-Looking charges programme, which is scheduled for April 2023.</p> <p>In addition, if the implementation were to be delayed, it is important that the current notice period for Network Charges is maintained, in order to avoid the need for Suppliers to price additional risk premia into their contracts.</p>