

CUSC Workgroup Consultation Response Proforma**CMP335 - Transmission Demand Residual - Billing and consequential changes to CUSC Section 3 and 11 (TCR)' &****CMP336 'Transmission Demand Residual - Billing and consequential changes to CUSC Section 14 (TCR)**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com by **5pm on 11 June 2020**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Workgroup.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation please contact Paul Mullen paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com or cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com.

Respondent details	Please enter your details
Respondent name:	Simon Vicary
Company name:	EDF Energy Customers Limited
Email address:	simon.vicary@edfenergy.com
Phone number:	07875110961

For reference the applicable CUSC objectives are:**CUSC (non-charging) objectives - for CMP335:**

- The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;*
- Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
- Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and*
- Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.*

**Objective (c) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).*

CUSC (charging) objectives - for CMP336:

- That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*

- b. That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);*
- c. That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses;*
- d. Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency. These are defined within the National Grid Electricity Transmission plc Licence under Standard Condition C10, paragraph 1 *; and*
- e. Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.*

**Objective (d) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).*

Please express your views regarding the Workgroup Consultation in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Standard Workgroup Consultation questions		
1	Do you believe that CMP335 Original proposal better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives?	(a) Yes, as NGESO has been directed to raise this modification and implement its effects by the Authority. (b) Neutral (c) Neutral (d) Neutral
2	Do you believe that CMP336 Original proposal better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives?	(a) Yes, as NGESO has been directed to raise this modification and implement its effects by the Authority. (b) Neutral (c) Neutral (d) Neutral (e) Neutral
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	We support the proposed implementation approach.
3	Do you have any other comments?	No
4	Do you wish to raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative Request for the Workgroup to consider?	No
Specific CMP335/6 Workgroup Consultation questions		
5	Based on the mapping table in Annex 4, does the proposed CMP335/CMP336 solution deliver Ofgem's TCR SCR Direction? Please identify any areas you believe need to be addressed.	Yes, we think the proposed CMP335/CMP336 solution delivers Ofgem's TCR SCR Direction. We have not identified any areas we believe need to be addressed.
6	Do you support the proposed allocation method to allocate transmission	Yes, it seems a reasonable approach and we consider there is a strong case for more than one band for transmission connected sites.

	connected sites to bands (if more than 1 band is created under the new modification which will replace CMP332)? If not, what approach would you prefer? Please provide your rationale.	
7	Do you think it would be appropriate for ESO to seek a derogation from Ofgem to be outside of the 5% to 9.5% tolerance range where there is under/over recovery arising from successful disputes?	Yes, certainly for the first year of implementation. We would not want the ESO incentivised to reject reasonable disputes especially as the impact of Covid-19 is likely to have a large impact on many consumers which is likely to lead to a higher number than originally expected.
8	Do you agree with the proposed disputes process for transmission sites? Do you agree that this is compatible with the DCUSA disputes process?	Yes, it seems reasonable and aligned with the approach being taken in DCUSA.
9	Do you support the method in ESO's alternative proposal to bill the Transmission Demand Residual? If not, what approach would you prefer? Please provide your rationale.	Our preference is for Option 2 - Bill monthly based on latest actual site count. This appears to be the most robust and efficient solution with the initial reconciliation taking place at end of year being reasonable.