

Grid Code Workgroup Consultation Response Proforma

GC0130 – OC2 Change for simplifying ‘output useable’ data submission and utilising REMIT data

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses by **5pm on 23 December 2019** to grid.code@nationalgrid.com. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Workgroup.

Respondent:	Alastair Frew
Company Name:	Drax Generation Enterprise Ltd
Please express your views regarding the Workgroup Consultation, including rationale. (Please include any issues, suggestions or queries)	

Standard Workgroup Consultation questions

Q	Question	Response
1	Do you believe that GC0130 Original proposal, the proposed alternative in Annex xx or any potential alternative that you may wish to suggest better facilitates the Grid Code Objectives?	<p><i>For reference the applicable Grid Code objectives are:</i></p> <p><i>(i) to permit the development, maintenance and operation of an efficient, coordinated and economical system for the transmission of electricity;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) to facilitate competition in the generation and supply of electricity (and without limiting the foregoing, to facilitate the national electricity transmission system being made available to persons authorised to supply or generate electricity on terms which neither prevent nor restrict competition in the supply or generation of electricity);</i></p> <p><i>(iii) subject to sub-paragraphs (i) and (ii), to promote the security and efficiency of the electricity</i></p>

		<p><i>generation, transmission and distribution systems in the national electricity transmission system operator area taken as a whole;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) to efficiently discharge the obligations imposed upon the licensee by this license and to comply with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency; and</i></p> <p><i>(v) To promote efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Grid Code arrangements.</i></p>
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	Yes
3	Do you have any other comments?	The only other question is that multi-shaft data is non-REMIT data will submitting via REMIT subject it to any REMIT requirements?
4	Do you wish to raise a WG Consultation Alternative Request for the Workgroup to consider?	No

Specific GC0130 questions

Q	Question	Response
5	Which system do you think you would use for your data submission, i.e. TOGA, Remit or both if given the choice?	REMIT
6	<p>We will define in the Grid Code that each generator shall provide The Company with any changes to the available Output Usable from now until 3 years ahead. We propose for an unplanned Event, the Generator shall provide the data within 1 hour of the event occurring, and for a planned Event, the Generator shall provide the data within 1 hour of the planning of the Event. This in REMIT is within 5 minutes, so:</p> <p>For non-REMIT submissions (direct to TOGA), on a known change of output, within what timeframe do you think these changes should be notified to National Grid ESO (where 1 hour is</p>	<p>Whilst our data is currently covered by REMIT the 5 minutes applies to us by default and the 1 hour for non-REMIT parties does not seem too excessive, but we are not best placed to judge this for other parties.</p>

	the example above)?	
7	Does the use of the REMIT description field for multi-shaft data cause any existing Users any problems?	No, we do not use this field, the only issue would be the time to modify of our existing REMIT submission system to add the multi-shaft data.
8	Can you confirm that you are happy for the removal of margin zonal data, if you are not, please explain the issue?	Yes, we do not use this data.
9	Can you indicate the amount of time you would require to prepare for the change in how data is submitted to NGENSO where applicable.	It potentially could take 6 months to modify our existing REMIT submission system to add the multi-shaft data submission, a clear estimate could be made if the exact formatting was made clear at the moment.