









STCP Modification Proposal Form (System Operator – Transmission Owner Code)	
<h1 style="margin: 0;">PM0110:</h1> <p style="margin: 0;">Housekeeping Modification to align STCP18-1 - STCP18-6 with existing working practices.'</p>	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 10px;"> <div style="background-color: #00a651; color: white; border-radius: 5px; padding: 5px 10px; font-weight: bold;">01</div> <div style="background-color: #00a651; color: white; border-radius: 5px; padding: 5px 10px; font-size: 0.8em;">Initial STCP Modification Proposal Form</div> </div>
<p>Purpose of Modification: This proposal seeks to update the existing STCPs 18-1, 18-2, 18-3, 18-4 and 18-6 to ensure alignment with existing working practices</p>	
	<p>This Modification was raised on 18 April 2019 and will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on 30 April 2019. The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation and determine the appropriate route.</p>
	<p>High Impact: None</p>
	<p>Medium Impact: None</p>
	<p>Low Impact: National Grid Electricity System Operator, National Grid Electricity Transmission, Scottish Power Transmission. All OFTO's</p>

Contents		?	Any questions?
1	Summary	4	Contact: Code Administrator
2	Governance	4	 Lurrentia.Walker@nationalgrideso.com
3	Why Change?	4	m
4	Impacts & Other Considerations	5	
5	Solution	6	
6	Implementation	7	 07976 940 855
7	Legal Text	7	
8	Recommendation	7	Proposer: Neil Bennett
9	Annex 1: Legal Text	7	
Timetable			 neil.bennett@sse.com
The Code Administrator recommends the following timetable:			
Proposal Form Submitted to Code Administrator for review	18 April 2019		 01738453427
Proposal form submitted to STC Panel Secretary	18 April 2019		
STCP's are presented and approved by the Panel	30 April 2019		
Sign off	By 3 May 2019		
Implementation	9 May 2019		

Details of Proposer:

Details of Proposer: (Organisation Name)	SHE Transmission
Capacity in which the STC Modification Proposal is being proposed: (i.e. STC Party, Party Representative or person or persons having a relevant interest as may be designated in	STC Party

writing for this purpose by the Authority	
<p>Details of Proposer's Representative:</p> <p>Name: Neil Bennett</p> <p>Organisation: SHE Transmission</p> <p>Telephone Number: 01738 453427</p> <p>Email Address: Neil.bennett@sse.com</p>	
<p>Details of Representative's Alternate:</p> <p>Name:</p> <p>Organisation:</p> <p>Telephone Number:</p> <p>Email Address:</p>	
<p>Attachments Yes:</p> <p>If Yes, Title and No. of pages of each Attachment:</p> <p>STCP 18-1 Issue 007 Connection and Modification Application_1 April 2019 v2.0 SHET amendments-54 pages</p> <p>STCP 18-2 Issue 005 Use of System Application_1 April 2019 SHET amendments-26 pages</p> <p>STCP 18-3 Issue 008 TEC Changes_1 April 2019 SHET amendments- 17 pages</p> <p>STCP18-4 Issue 004 Request for a Statement of Works_1 April 2019 SHET amendments- 27 pages</p> <p>STCP 18-6 Issue 005 Variation to Agreements_1 April 2019 SHET amendments- 11 pages</p>	

Impact on Core Industry Documentation.
Please mark the relevant boxes with an "x" and provide any supporting information

BSC	<input type="checkbox"/>
Grid Code	<input type="checkbox"/>
CUSC	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

No impacts on Core Industry Documentation have been identified.

1 Summary

Defect

Multiple clauses within STCPs 18-1 to 6 are proposed to be modified to bring in line with working practices and to ensure efficiency in the processes.

What

Following a review by SHE Transmission, the proposal is to make updates to:

- Clauses that do not exist
- Time frames for PLD issuing
- Clarifications to imprecise clauses
- Clauses that promote inefficient practices and including clauses that promote efficient ones
- SBN templates

Why

By amending the proposed clauses, this would allow the TO/OFTO's to be more efficient in its working practices, eliminate some ambiguities around some of the clauses and avoid confusion where there are incorrect references.

How

By updating existing clauses, inserting new clauses and replacing some templates.

2 Governance

In line with Section B 7.3 of the STC, the STC Modification Panel will be requested to approve this change to the STCP18 series.

3 Why Change?

The changes proposed would eliminate inefficient practices and avoid confusion by updating correct clause numbers which are referenced. It would also align the procedures with current working practices. If these changes are not made, then the TOs/OFTOs would continue to adhere to inefficient clauses that would cause wasted time/resources. The only parties affected would be the TOs, OFTOs and the ESO.

4 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

None

Consumer Impacts

None.

Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the STC Applicable Objectives and STCP Assessment Criteria:

Relevant STC Objective	Identified impact
(a) efficient discharge of the obligations imposed upon transmission licensees by transmission licences and the Act	positive
(b) development, maintenance and operation of an efficient, economical and coordinated system of electricity transmission	None
(c) facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the distribution of electricity	None
(d) protection of the security and quality of supply and safe operation of the national electricity transmission system insofar as it relates to interactions between transmission licensees	None
(e) promotion of good industry practice and efficiency in the implementation and administration of the arrangements described in the STC.	positive
(f) facilitation of access to the national electricity transmission system for generation not yet connected to the national electricity transmission system or distribution system;	None
(g) compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency.	None

In addition for an STCP Change, Section B 7.3.2 details that the following should be considered:

Provision	View of the Proposer
the amendment or addition does not impair, frustrate or invalidate the provisions of the Code	Agree
the amendment or addition does not impose new obligations or liabilities or restrictions of a material nature on Relevant Parties which are not subsidiary to the rights and obligations of the Relevant Parties under the Code	Agree
the amendment or addition is not inconsistent or in conflict with the Code, Transmission Licence Conditions or other relevant statutory requirements	Agree
the Relevant Party Representatives deem that the amendment or addition is appropriate to support compliance with the Code	Agree

The Proposer believes that this change will better facilitate relevant objective(s) A & E through removing clauses that promote inefficient behaviour and inserting clauses that could positively impact the efficiency of either the TO/OFTO or the ESO.

5 Solution

In STCP 18-1- Removed a definition, updating a few housekeeping errors, update the acknowledgement of provision of TOCO to only being required where TOCOs are not being provided, refining a clause to provide NETS models only where the application requires the Parties to do so rather than for every application, refining a clause to allow for Charging information to be provided in a draft TOCO, inserting a clause to allow for the possibility of providing a TOCO earlier than the end of the time scale, clarification of when a new set of CPA is required, allowing for other types of indemnity agreements if agreed between the parties, amending an appendix to remove restrictions on TOs procurement strategies and updating the various SBNs to the latest “super SBN” which covers every scenario.

In STCP 18-2- Updating a clause to remove the requirement of updating an SBN with the Lead Person where it is inherently the administrator, refining a clause to provide NETS models only where the application requires the Parties to do so rather than for

every application and update the acknowledgement of provision of TOCO to only being required where TOCOs are not being provided

In STCP 18-3- Clarified procedure is for connected schemes, moved clauses to align with other STCP 18 procedures, updated how a notice should be effected to a TO, update the acknowledgement of provision of TOCO to only being required where TOCOs are not being provided, updating a clause to remove the requirement of updating an SBN with the Lead Person where it is inherently the administrator, refining a clause to provide NETS models only where the application requires the Parties to do so rather than for every application, amend the requirement to send the results by email and post to just email

In STCP 18-4- Clarified how invoicing is dealt with, refining a clause to provide NETS models only where the application requires the Parties to do so rather than for every application, amend the requirement to send the results by email and post to just email, update a reference error, updated the requirement for when a statement of works charges report is required

In STCP 18-6- Clarifications on type of days required, amended an abbreviation.

6 Implementation

PM0110 will be implemented following the approval by the STC Panel.

7 Legal Text

The legal text can be found under Annex 1 of this proposal form.

8 Recommendation

The STC Panel is asked to approve that the changes to STCP18 Series are made.

9 Annex 1: Legal Text