V0.2

nationalgrid

[GC0086 Grid Code Open Governance]
TERMS OF REFERENCE

Governance

1. The GC0086 Workgroup was established by Grid Code Review Panel (GCRP) at the July 2014 GCRP meeting.

2. The Workgroup shall formally report to the GCRP.

3. These Terms of Reference will be discussed and agreed at the first Workgroup meeting and will then be approved by the GCRP. By agreement, they may be subject to further amendment.

Membership

3. The Workgroup shall comprise a suitable and appropriate cross-section of experience and expertise from across the industry, which shall include:

Name	Role	Representing
Alex Thomason	Chair	Code Administrator
Emma Radley	Technical Secretary	Code Administrator
Rob Wilson	National Grid Representative	National Grid
Garth Graham	Industry Representative	SSE
Guy Philips	Industry Representative	E.ON
Mike Kay	Industry Representative	ENW
Richard Lowe	Industry Representative	SHET
Peter Bolitho	Industry Representative	Waters Wye
David Spillett	Industry Representative	ENA
Abid Sheikh	Authority Representative	Ofgem

Meeting Administration

4. The frequency of Workgroup meetings shall be defined as necessary by the Workgroup chair to meet the scope and objectives of the work being undertaken at that time. It is likely that meetings will be required on a fortnightly basis from until Christmas 2014. All meetings will be provisionally planned ahead to optimise attendance.

5. National Grid will provide technical secretary resource to the Workgroup and handle administrative arrangements such as venue, agenda and minutes.

6. The Workgroup will have a dedicated section on the National Grid website to enable information such as minutes, papers and presentations to be available to a wider audience.

Scope

7. The Workgroup shall consider and report on the following:

- Agree / define the meaning of "Open Governance" with respect to the GCRP

- What the perceived defect associated with the present governance arrangement is and how the benefits of Open Governance would address these defects.

- The impact and effect of the Code Governance Review (CGR) Phase 2 in relation to the Grid Code.

- Whether the introduction of aspects of the CGR such as the introduction of a Code Administrator has or will address some of the identified defects.

- Options for implementing individual components as well as the overall package of Open Governance Modifications including:

  - Introduction of a Self-Governance process

  - Introduction of a Fast Track process

  - Introduction of an Urgent Process for Modifications

  - The role of an Independent Chairman on the GCRP

- The cost and funding for implementing any new arrangements

- Potential Licence changes

- Who can raise Grid Code Modifications (including the concept of Proposer Ownership and Workgroup Modification Alternatives)

- Reform of GCRP Membership as a result of Open Governance, taking into account the feedback from Panel Members expressed as part of GC0074 (Grid Code Membership).

- The need for and creation of an informal forum to discuss Grid Code issues in addition to the formal – including the practicalities and associated cost.

- GCRP Election Process

- GCRP Voting rights

- National Electricity Transmission System Operator (NETSO) view' or 'Transmission Licensee' view in Modification Reports

- Appeal Rights for Authority Decisions

- Review and develop legal text

- Potential Impact on the STC

- Alternative solutions and implementation

Deliverables

8. The Workgroup will provide updates and a Workgroup Report to the Grid Code Review Panel which will:

V0.2

- Detail the findings of the Workgroup;

- Draft, prioritise and recommend any recommended changes to the Grid Code and associated documents in order to implement the findings of the Workgroup; and

- Highlight any consequential changes which are or may be required,

Timescales

9. It is anticipated that this Workgroup will provide an update to each GCRP meeting and present a Workgroup Report to the January 2015 GCRP meeting, or any alternative date agreed by the GCRP if required.

10. If for any reason the Workgroup is in existence for more than one year, there is a responsibility for the Workgroup to produce a yearly update report, including but not limited to; current progress, reasons for any delays, next steps and likely conclusion dates.