

GC0074: GCRP Membership



Workshop 2, 9 May 2014
Alex Thomason, Code Administrator

Agenda

- Summary of Workshop 1
- Workshop objectives
- Position on Alternate Members
- Voting rights
- Election process
- Consultation Document
- Next Steps

Workshop 1

- Held on 10 April 2014
- Consensus that Generator representation should not be reduced.
- Move towards open generator elections to allow for fair and balanced representation
- Provide clearer job description and ask for relevant expertise
- Increase visibility of Panel Member representation
- 2 year term of office more appropriate
- Fair and robust election process is key

Workshop 1 initial conclusions

No change

GCRP Chair

Panel Secretary

Code Admin

Ofgem

BSC Panel

Supplier

Some change

NGET

NGET

Scottish TO

Scottish TO

Offshore TO

E&W DNO

E&W DNO

Scottish DNO

Generators x [12?]

Occasional attendance

Interconnector

Non-Embedded Customer

One box = one representative

Voting Rights



Proposed Voting Structure

Non-voting

GCRP Chair*

Panel Secretary

Code Administrator

Ofgem

BSC Panel

NGET Advisor

Voting

12 x Generator?

2 x Scottish TOs

1 x OFTO

1 x E&W DNO

1 x Scottish DNO

2 x NGET?

Supplier

* Chair only has a casting vote in the event of a tie

Generator Elections



Proposed GCRP Election Process: Nominations

- Code Administrator to establish timetable
- Who can nominate a candidate?
 - Any Power Station [that has been commissioned] / [listed on CUSC Schedule 1]
 - Candidates cannot nominate themselves
- Nomination Criteria (CA to provide template for biography):
 - Name of nominator (Power Station & individual)
 - Name of nominee
 - Specific areas of experience / expertise
 - Specific areas of interest and affiliations
 - Details of participation in other industry forums
- CA to establish candidate pool
- If fewer candidates than seats, no election required

Proposed GCRP Election Process: Voting

- Who can vote in the election?
 - Power Stations listed on CUSC Schedule 1 (cut off date specified)
- Options for voting:
 - “First Past The Post” voting method (used by UNC)
 - Number of seats = number of votes available
 - Preference Voting method (used by BSC & CUSC)

Proposed GCRP Election Process: Voting

- “First Past The Post” voting method

Pros:

- Simple to understand and administer
- Clear view of who voters want

Cons:

- How is the result decided if there is a tie between candidates?

- Preference Voting method

Pros:

- Allows you to specify an order of preference

Cons:

- More complicated to understand outcome and to administer

Next Steps & Timetable



Next Steps and timescales

9 May 2014	2 nd Workshop
W/C 12 May 2014	Circulate draft consultation to Workshop / Panel
End May 2014	Circulate draft consultation to GCRP
June / July 2014	Run industry consultation
September 2014	Present conclusions to GCRP
September 2014	Report to Authority
November 2014	Authority decision and implementation (ready for December elections)