

## Grid Code Industry Consultation Response Proforma

### GC0074 GCRP Membership

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses by **1 August 2014** to [Grid.Code@nationalgrid.com](mailto:Grid.Code@nationalgrid.com). Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

These responses will be included in the Report to the Authority which is drafted by National Grid and submitted to the Authority for a decision.

<b>Respondent:</b>	<i>Andy Vaudin andrew.vaudin@edfenergy.com</i>
<b>Company Name:</b>	<i>EDF Energy</i>
<b>1. What are your views on Interconnector users being given a seat on the GCRP as an occasional attendee when it is deemed appropriate by the GCRP and/or the Code Administrator based on the subject matter, rather than as a permanent member where an Interconnector Representative would be expected to attend all meetings.</b>	<i>An Interconnector representative should be given a seat on the GCRP when deemed appropriate by the Code Administrator.</i>
<b>2. Do you agree with the group that (i) the Scottish TOs should continue to have a seat on the Panel (ii) that the DNOs should continue to be represented by three seats on the Panel (2 for England &amp; Wales and 1 for Scotland) and (iii) that manufacturers should not be represented on the Panel.</b>	<i>Agree to each of (i), (ii) &amp; (iii).</i>
<b>3. Do you believe that each NGET Representative should hold 1 vote each, as for other representatives, or that this should be reduced to, for example, 2 votes between the proposed 4 NGET Representatives.</b>	<i>Agreed that each NGET representative should hold one vote, provided that the other representative numbers in GC0074 are not reduced.</i>
<b>4. How do you think a tied vote should be dealt with in an election?</b>	<i>The Authority and/or the Chair of the GCRP should review the categories already represented and decide between the tied candidates on the basis of an adequate coverage across categories.</i>
<b>Do you believe that GC0074 better facilitates the appropriate Grid</b>	<i>GC0074 facilitates the following Grid Code objectives:</i>

<b>Code objectives?</b>	<p>2. to facilitate competition in the generation and supply of electricity (and without limiting the foregoing, to facilitate the national electricity transmission system being made available to persons authorised to supply or generate electricity on terms which neither prevent nor restrict competition in the supply or generation of electricity);</p> <p><i>The proposal should enable a spread of representation on the GCRP across the Electricity industry.</i></p>
<b>Please provide any other comments you feel are relevant to the proposed change.</b>	<p><i>The Authority and/or the Chair of the GCRP should have the power to add a member, where an important category is under-represented following an election. This would be the case, for example, if the election resulted in inadequate representation of Nuclear Generators.</i></p>