

Company	Views against Objectives	Q1 Interconnectors as occasional attendee	Q2 TO/DNO/ manufacturer representation	Q3. NGET representation	Q4 Election voting	Other comments
DONG Energy	Support – enhanced cross section of representation .	Appropriate to be occasional but role of CA is crucial in this.	Agree with views but TO/SO split of NGET needs further consideration in this regard.	Single vote per Panel member is appropriate. Note possible separation of SO/TO for NGET.	Concerns with using TEC Register (block voting). Merit in using CUSC Schedule 1. Preference voting system may be more relevant. Do not favour using generator size to weight a vote.	
Drax	Support.	Agree with WG view.	Agree with WG suggestions.	Appropriate to have 1 vote each.	Tied vote should be dealt with randomly via lots. Not competitive to use size to give preference.	Open governance will complement GC0074 – fully support GC0086.
EDF	Support	Agree with WG view - enables a spread of representation.	Agree with WG suggestions.	Each NGET rep should have 1 vote, provided that other representative numbers are not reduced.	Authority / Chair should decide based on adequate coverage across the categories.	Authority / Chair should have the power to add a member in case of inadequate representation.
Energy UK	Support. Benefits would be maximised in conjunction with open governance.	Agree with WG view. Interconnectors should be allowed to request to attend as well.	Agree with WG conclusions.	Each NGET rep should have 1 vote provided that the voting member composition is kept at proposed.	Draw lots to decide.	Support open governance and would give more meaning to the voting process.
Electricity North West	No – does not better facilitate objectives.	Should have seat for interconnectors, and attend as they deem fit based on agenda.	Agree with WG conclusions.	1 vote per formal representative.	No opinion.	The proposed eligibility for election appears to lose the appointment of small generators. Note changes from RfG.

E.ON	Better facilitates objective (iv).	Sensible to attend by exception when relevant subject matter on agenda.	Agree with WG conclusions.	Voting will need to be reviewed if it becomes more relevant, at which point NGET votes could be reduced to 2.	Draw lots (as for BSC and CUSC).	Appropriate to keep GC0074 implications under review during GC0086. May be more appropriate for the GCRP to be more akin to CUSC and BSC Panels if open governance introduced.
Northern PowerGrid	Better facilitates Objective (ii) as more equitable representation .	Agree with WG view. The interconnector rep should also be free to decide.	Agree with WG conclusions.	Agree with view that NGET should hold 1 vote per rep. If reduced, generators would have more votes than other parties combined which would create a dominate stakeholder group.	Affected candidates agree amongst themselves. Or Chair could have casting vote.	Clarify nomination, voting rights and whether Chair can appoint additional member.
RWE	Facilitates objectives for reasons given in consultation paper.	Agree with WG view. Interconnectors should be able to attend at their own request.	Agree with WG conclusion. Support proposal of 12 generator representatives.	1 vote per member.	Other candidates in category could decide, or a random selection via lots.	
Scottish Power	Facilitates objectives.	Should be allowed to attend when they feel necessary.	Agree with WG conclusion.	1 vote each. Current voting is irrelevant - NGET can put through any proposal and where the Chair has a casting vote, its NGET's decision anyway as they are not independent.		