

Action Log – Meeting 1

Meeting name

GC0048: Joint GCRP/DCRP Workgroup on National Application of RfG

	Action	Owner	Update	Status
1	Investigate whether BELLAs/BEGAs will still exist in the future	RW		Ongoing
2	Workgroup to provide comments on Terms of Reference before finalising at the next GCRP/DCRP	ALL		Ongoing
3	Add more detail to scoring matrix and circulate	RW	Code structures was discussed further to the 1 st workgroup meeting at ECCAF on January 30 th . The conclusion was that the workgroup will proceed on the basis that the existing code structures and vehicles will be used for RfG. This does not preclude re-examining this conclusion at a later date when more detail is known or for other of the European codes. This recommendation from ECCAF will be circulated to each of the GB code panels. Updated information to circulate with minutes/actions but no further work on this planned given ECCAF conclusions.	Cancelled (pending ratification of ECCAF recommendation by GCRP and DCRP)
4	Workgroup to complete the scoring exercise	ALL	With reference to action 3 above, there is now little point in carrying out this action. While there are different ways of achieving the end result within the existing codes these will be explored as work proceeds.	Cancelled
5	Check how compliance against the codes would be tested (by who? When?) and what the impact of any non-compliance would be (GB enforcement agency? EU enforcement agency?).	RW	There are two parts to this which it is likely would work together: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the event of a member state not complying with any element of RfG, infraction proceedings would take place against the member state directly (so any penalties or actions would for GB be against DECC) actioned by the European Commission 	Ongoing

		Ofgem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Compliance with the 3rd package has been written into GB licences by Ofgem, so where a breach was identified against a licence holder being any signatory of the G or D Codes - this would be a breach of licence with the same consequences as for any other domestic infringement. <p>More clarity would be useful.</p>	
6	Update the comparison table between RfG and the existing GB Codes	AJ		Ongoing
7	Produce an output note to ECCAF summarising the Workgroup opinion of the structural alternatives.	RW	<p>1st meeting of joint GCRP/DCRP WG on National Application of RfG 28/1/14</p> <p>The workgroup debated the structural alternatives for GB in application/implementation of the RfG code. This is a complex area with many criteria against which alternatives need to be assessed, however the workgroup came to two conclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The group are minded to think that the 'Omnicode' solution would be difficult, costly and with potentially unintended consequences. - The group are in agreement that the arrangements for existing generators should be preserved. 	Complete
8	Set out the process for making future changes to the code.	RW	<p>There is a draft process set out by ACER. But in effect it requires the code to go back through Comitology which is therefore not quick.</p> <p>More clarity on this would be useful.</p>	Ongoing