

Requirements for Generators RFG

Generator Banding Thresholds 3 – January 2015

Richard.Woodward@nationalgrid.com

An additional slide pack reviewing...

- ☐ Type D scale generation (100MW+)
- Data tables based on an alternate assumption on connection voltages in Scotland
 - Interconnector capacities
- ...is contained at the back of the presentation (slide 14 onwards)

RfG – background on Generator banding

- RfG introduces the concept of generator banding, to ensure a proportionate level of response dependent on a station's installed capacity and/or connection voltage
- Banding thresholds in each synchronous area need to be agreed via public consultation and are ratified by NRA approval. Generators are required to support this activity
- Once banding thresholds become active, they cannot be adjusted for three years and do not apply retrospectively
- SOs seeking to make a change must follow the same process as agreeing the initial band thresholds

Banding Analysis

- This presentation is a follow up to a previous set of scenario analysis (Nov '14) on a fit for the GB banding thresholds
- It presents data based on:
 - a GB banding proposal from the current draft of RfG (January 2014);
 - a Central European (CE) view which may be recommended for adoption in GB (also from January '14);
 - and a banding proposal put forward by the NGET SO
- Data tables are compiled using expected trends on future users of the Distribution and Transmission system

Data Sources

- All data submissions now received (6/6 DNO organisations) many thanks for your help!
- RIIO ED1 Price Control submissions have been used by majority of DNOs for Distributed Generation analysis
 - This means MW granularity to technology level is available. Should this be analysed too?
- ED1 submissions present an aggregated view of projects and MW. Therefore the true deviation of project capacities is not clear:
 - Is a 'total' average (per technology) too simplistic?
- 29/12/14 version TEC and Embedded Register used

Data Assumptions

- An arbitrary average project MW has been formed to allow the analysis, based on Total MW/No. of Projects
- Projects >100MW in capacity have been excluded from analysis (inevitably Type D by default)
- Connection data in all sources is limited, therefore:
 - Projects below 100MW connecting to a Scottish TO are assumed to be at 33kV
 - England & Wales BEGA projects are also assumed to be connected 33kV
- Projects which are operational or in construction are excluded – should this also be analysed for info?

Reminder on banding proposals

	Type A	Type B	Type C	Type D
GB: Jan '14 draft RfG	0.8KW-1MW	1MW-10MW	10-30MW	30MW+
CE: Jan '14 draft RfG	0.8KW-1MW	1MW-50MW	50-75MW	75MW+
GB: NGET proposal	0.8KW-1MW	1MW-30MW	30-50MW	50MW+

Project/MW summary 2015-2023: All

nationalgrid

GB (Jan 14)
DNO TOTAL
TEC Reg
Embedded Reg
TOTAL

Type A: 0.8kW-1MW		
Projects	MW	
2,287,025	22,182.403	
2,287,025	22,182.403	

Type B: 1-9.9MW	
Projects	MW
3,792	5,924.960
1	4.000
73	300.510
3,866	6,229.470

Type C: 10-29.9MW		
Projects	MW	
50	868.326	
23	507.850	
12	279.750	
85 1,655.926		

Type D: 30MW+		
Projects	MW	
14	750	
66	3,922	
3	131	
83	4,803.200	

CE (Jan 14)
DNO TOTAL
TEC Reg
Embedded Reg
TOTAL

Type A: 0.8kW-1MW		
Projects	MW	
2,287,025	22,182.403	
2,287,025	22,182.403	

Type B: 1-49.9MW		
Projects	MW	
3,842	6,793.285	
51	1,577.450	
87	646.360	
3,980 9,017.095		

Type C: 50-74.9MW	
Projects	MW
0	0.000
22	1,345.200
1	65.000
23 1,410.200	

Type D: 75MW+		
Projects	MW	
14	750	
17	1,511	
0	0	
31	2,261.300	

GB (NGET
Proposal)
DNO TOTAL
TEC Reg
Embedded Reg
TOTAL

Type A: 0.8kW-1MW			
Projects	MW		
2,287,025	22,182.403		
2,287,025	22,182.403		

I-29.9MW	
MW	
6,793.285	
511.850	
580.260	
7,885.395	

Type C: 30-49.9MW		
Projects	MW	
0	0.000	
27	1,065.600	
2	66.100	
29	1,131.700	

Type D: 50MW+				
Projects	MW			
14	750			
39	2,857			
1	65			
54	3,671.500			

- No. of schemes = 2,291,058; Total MW = 34,870.998
- Types A-B make up the majority of the position under all proposals.
 Therefore is the lack of Type C a concern?

Comparison of 'All' tables: Jan '15 to Nov '14

CP (lon 14)	Type A: 0	.8kW-1MW	Type B: 1MW-10MW		Type C: 10-30MW		Type D: 30MW+	
GB (Jan 14)	Projects	MW	Projects	MW	Projects	MW	Projects	MW
TEC / Emb Reg	0	0.000	58	237.810	52	1,052.720	86	5,025.600
DNO	1,146,932	5,869.923	1,595	3,676.567	88	1,352.696	9	450.000
TOTAL	1,146,932	5,869.923	1,653	3,914.377	140	2,405.416	95	5,475.600
Diff to Jan 15	1,140,092	16,312.480	2,212	2,315.093	-55	-749.491	-12	-672.400
CE / Ion 14)	Type A: 0	.8kW-1MW	Type B: 1	MW-50MW	Type C:	50-75MW	Type D:	: 75MW+
CE (Jan 14)	Projects	MW	Projects	MW	Projects	MW	Projects	MW
TEC / Emb Reg	0	0.000	146	2,696.230	31	1,913.600	19	1,706.300
DNO	1,146,932	5,869.923	1,683	5,029.263	9	450.000	0	0.000
TOTAL	1,146,932	5,869.923	1,829	7,725.493	40	2,363.600	19	1,706.300
Diff to Jan 15	1,140,092	16,312.480	2,150	1,291.602	-17	-953.400	12	555.000
GB (NGET	Type A: 0	.8kW-1MW	Type B: 1	MW-30MW	Type C:	30-50MW	Type D:	: 50MW+
Proposal)	Projects	MW	Projects	MW	Projects	MW	Projects	MW
TEC / Emb Reg	0	0.000	110	1,290.530	36	1,405.700	50	3,619.900
DNO	1,146,932	5,869.923	1,683	5,029.263	0	0.000	9	450.000
TOTAL	1,146,932	5,869.923	1,793	6,319.793	36	1,405.700	59	4,069.900
Diff to Jan 15	1,140,092	16,312.480	2,157	1,565.602	-7	-274.000	-5	-398.400

- Significant increase in number of Type A-B schemes under all banding proposals in second submission
- Moderate decrease of schemes in the C-D bands

Summary of findings

- Data is a forecast and has been aggregated (as before)
 - it cannot therefore be deemed as 100% accurate
- However it provides a fair reflection on anticipated trends for future connections:
 - Substantial volume of 'Type A' photovoltaic projects
 - Count of Type's C-D largely consistent from previous analysis
 - Majority of schemes that could make up Type C would be Scottish-based
 - Data does not capture the nature of connection voltages; assumptions on whether 50-100MW schemes could fall as Type D is a particularly important next step (for schemes currently 'B' or 'C')

Next Steps

- Position on Type D is largely out of scope in this analysis. Do we need to capture 100MW+ schemes to understand full picture?
- Is a split by project technology important (synchronous vs non-)?
- Is the existing profile of generators important for our consideration in setting the thresholds? If so, what data sources for Distributed generation?
- Should we consider market/political developments as well?
 - Capacity Mechanism
 - Closure of RO/commencement of CFD regime
 - Others?
- Finally how far do we need to continue our analysis? Could we draw conclusions from the two data analysis activities now carried out? If not, why?



Requirements for Generators RFG Type D Sub-Presentation

Generator Banding Thresholds 3a – January 2015

Richard.Woodward@nationalgrid.com

Data Assumptions/Sources

- Same analysis process as main slide pack, however...
 - Projects >100MW in capacity are now included (Type D by default)
 - Connection data in all sources is limited, therefore (and unlike previously): Projects between >=50MW connecting to a Scottish TO are assumed to be at 132kV (and therefore Type D by default)
 - >100MW project technology breakdown for TEC projects included for info
 - TEC register spans 2015-2026 (unlike ED1 data which is 2015-2023)

Reminder on banding proposals

	Type A	Type B	Type C	Type D
GB: Jan '14 draft RfG	0.8KW-1MW	1MW-10MW	10-30MW	30MW+
CE: Jan '14 draft RfG	0.8KW-1MW	1MW-50MW	50-75MW	75MW+
GB: NGET proposal	0.8KW-1MW	1MW-30MW	30-50MW	50MW+

Project/MW summary 2015-2023/6: nationalgrid Distributed Generation + TEC & Embedded

GB (Jan 14)
DNO TOTAL
TEC Reg
Embedded Reg
TOTAL

Type A: 0.8kW-1MW			
Projects	MW		
2,287,025	22,182.403		
2,287,025	22,182.403		

Type B:	1-9.9MW
Projects	MW
3,792	5,924.960
1	4.000
73	300.510
3,866	6,229.470

Type C: 10-29.9MW		
Projects	MW	
50	868.326	
23	507.850	
12	279.750	
85	1,655.926	

Type D: 30MW+		
Projects	MW	
14	750	
165	81,548	
3	131	
182	82,429.000	

CE (Jan 14)
DNO TOTAL
TEC Reg
Embedded Reg
TOTAL

Type A: 0.8kW-1MW		
Projects	MW	
2,287,025	22,182.403	
2,287,025	22,182.403	

Type B: 1-49.9MW		
Projects MW		
3,842	6,793.285	
51	1,577.450	
87	646.360	
3,980	3,980 9,017.095	

Type C: 50-74.9MW		
Projects	MW	
0	0.000	
0	0.000	
0	0.000	
0	0.000	

Type D: 75MW+			
Projects MW			
14	750		
138	38 80,482		
1	65		
153 81,297.300			

GB (NGET
Proposal)
DNO TOTAL
TEC Reg
Embedded Reg
TOTAL

Type A: 0.8kW-1MW		
Projects	MW	
2,287,025	22,182.403	
2,287,025	22,182.403	

Type B: 1-29.9MW		
Projects	MW	
3,842	6,793.285	
24	511.850	
85	580.260	
3,951	7,885.395	

Type C: 30-49.9MW		
Projects MW		
0	0.000	
27	1,065.600	
2	66.100	
29	1,131.700	
29 1,131.700		

Type D: 50MW+			
Projects MW			
14	750		
138	80,482		
1	65		
153	153 81,297.300		

- 99.82% of all <u>projects</u> = Type A; approx. 0.17%* = Type B
- Approx. 73%* of total capacity = Type D; 19.78% = Type A
- In this scenario, **no Type C** schemes under Jan '15 GB draft

TEC Only – Technology Profile

Plant Type	Total MW	Total Projects	Capacity Share	Average Proj MW
CCGT	17923.000	17	23.19%	1054.294
Wind Onshore	3005.800	16	3.89%	187.863
Wind Offshore	32911.000	48	42.58%	685.646
Nuclear	19407.000	8	25.11%	2425.875
Tidal	867.000	4	1.12%	216.750
Pump Storage	2112.000	2	2.73%	1056.000
Wave	300.000	1	0.39%	300.000
CHP	490.000	1	0.63%	490.000
Biomass	280.000	1	0.36%	280.000
TOTAL	77295.800	98.000		6696.427

- Offshore Wind, Nuclear and CCGT make up the majority technologies with TEC from 2015 onwards
- Offshore wind makes up 43% of the total sample alone
- Sizeable amount of CCGT (nearly 18GW) which will be particularly important in assisting the SO in managing the system

Interconnectors

Interconnectors are required to support the SO in managing the system, but at this stage there is no guarantee on the direction of flow, or that the 'future projects' will be constructed...

Existing

- 2GW to France (IFA)
- 1GW to the Netherlands (BritNed)
- 500MW to Northern Ireland (Moyle)
- 500MW to the Republic of Ireland (East West)

Future Projects

- 1GW to France (ElecLink)
- 1GW to Belgium (Nemo)
- 1.4GW to France (FAB)
- 1GW to France (IFA2)
- 1.4GW to Norway (NSN)
- 1-1.4GW to Denmark (Viking Link)
- [?GW to Ireland (Greenlink)]