**Stage 2 - Workgroup Vote**

This Workgroup vote is not outlined within the Governance Rules of the Grid Code however it is stated within the Terms of Reference for each Workgroup.

The Terms of Reference and Workgroup vote process were agreed by the Grid Code Review Panel following the introduction of Open Governance.

It was decided that to assist both the Panel, Industry (within the Code Administrator Consultation) and lastly the Authority in understanding the views of the Workgroup that it would be beneficial to hold a vote ahead of sending the Workgroup Report back to the Panel.

The Workgroup vote will consist of two rounds. The first round will be conclude if the Original proposal and/or any WACMs better facilitate the Grid Code objectives. The second round will be to vote which of the options is best.

You will also be asked to provide a statement to be added to the Workgroup Report alongside your vote to assist the reader in understanding the rationale for your vote.

**The Grid Code Objectives are as follows:**

1. to permit the development, maintenance and operation of an efficient, coordinated and economical system for the transmission of electricity;
2. to facilitate competition in the generation and supply of electricity (and without limiting the foregoing, to facilitate the national electricity transmission system being made available to persons authorised to supply or generate electricity on terms which neither prevent nor restrict competition in the supply or generation of electricity);
3. subject to sub-paragraphs (i) and (ii), to promote the security and efficiency of the electricity generation, transmission and distribution systems in the national electricity transmission system operator area taken as a whole;
4. to efficiently discharge the obligations imposed upon the licensee by this license and to comply with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency; and
5. to promote efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Grid Code arrangement

**Vote 1 *– does the original or WACM facilitate the objectives better than the Baseline?***

***Vote recording guidelines:***

“Y” = Yes

“N” = No

“-“ = Neutral

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitatesGCO (i)** | | **Better facilitates GCO (ii)?** | **Better facilitates GCO (iii)?** | **Better facilitatesGCO (iv)?** | **Better facilitates GCO (v)?** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
| Chris Marsland | | | | | | | |
| Original |  | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | | |
| Simon Sheridan | | | | | | | |
| Original |  | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | | |
| Garth Graham | | | | | | | |
| Original | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | | |
| Isaac Gutierrez/ Rui Rui | | | | | | | |
| Original |  | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | | |
| Gregory Middleton | | | | | | | |
| Original |  | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | | |
| Alastair Frew | | | | | | | |
| Original |  | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| David Saez/Sigrid Bolik | | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |
| Mike Kay | | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

**Vote 2 – *Which option is the best? (please enter either Baseline (Grid Code today) or Original solution)***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **BEST Option?** |
| Chris Marsland |  |
| Simon Sheridan |  |
| Garth Graham |  |
| Isaac Gutierrez/ Rui Rui |  |
| Gregory Middleton |  |
| Alastair Frew |  |
| David Saez/Sigrid Bolik |  |
| Mike Kay |  |