

Appendix F - Response Proforma

National Grid invites responses to this consultation by **20th February 2018**. The responses to the specific consultation questions (below) or any other aspect of this consultation can be provided by completing the following proforma.

Please return the completed proforma to balancingservices@nationalgrid.com

Respondent:	Martin Mate
Company Name:	EDF Energy
Does this response contain confidential information? If yes, please specify.	No

No	Question	Response (Y/N)	Rationale
1	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the BSAD, shown in Table 1 have been implemented correctly to the Procurement Guidelines in Appendix A? If not, please provide rationale.		
2	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the BSAD, shown in Table 1 and in Appendix A, should be made? If not, please provide rationale.		
3	Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the BSAD?		
4	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines, shown in Table 2 have been implemented correctly to the Procurement Guidelines in Appendix B? If not, please provide rationale.		
5	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines, shown in Table 2 and in Appendix B, should be made? If not, please provide rationale.		
6	Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines?	Y	Should the specific service of Enhanced Frequency Response have been included in the list of procured services, for example at Part C1, Part D1 and Table 1, Part E Table 2? Its characteristics and procurement are quite different from existing frequency response products.
7	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the SMAF, shown in Table 3 have been implemented correctly to the SMAF in Appendix C? If not, please provide rationale.		

No	Question	Response (Y/N)	Rationale
8	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the SMAF, shown in Table 3 and in Appendix C, should be made? If not, please provide rationale.		
9	Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the SMAF?		
10	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the BPS, shown in Table 4 have been implemented correctly to the BPS in Appendix D? If not, please provide rationale.		
11	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the BPS, shown in Table 4 and in Appendix D, should be made? If not, please provide rationale.		
12	Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the BPS?	Y	Should the new requirement for, and use of, Enhanced Frequency Response be included in section D Part 3 and Section F part 3? Although it might be considered to fall under the general umbrella of 'frequency response', the need for it and its characteristics are different to historic forms of active frequency response.

General comments:

1. There is considerable duplication across the different Condition 16 statements. They could surely be rationalised to reduce this duplication, at the same time reducing opportunity for inconsistency and ambiguity.
2. We would like to see firmer incentives for NGET to deliver accurate BSAD, BM/SMAF and ABSVD data to BSC systems for use in reporting system imbalances and setting imbalance prices. Tighter timescales would also be desirable, to provide more timely signals on system imbalance, so as to better inform short term market trading and physical actions.