



Answers to your questions

Balancing Programme Events 2023 & 2024

Introduction

This document holds all the questions we have received during our Balancing Programme events. You can find out more about our events and what was covered in the Balancing Programme area on the NESO website – <u>Click here</u>.

Contents

We have grouped the questions into themes to make it easier to view our responses. We will update this document regularly with responses to all the new questions we receive from our customers.

- <u>Dispatch Transparency</u>
- Systems (Balancing & Forecasting)
- Markets
- Other

Dispatch Transparency

Received	Question	Answer
27 Nov	Re BM and NBM - what does	NBM and BM follow different rules and
	optimising them together in the	integration (such as Open Instructions v
	control room mean? And what's	Closed BOA, "All or Nothing" v MEL/SEL).
	the value to NESO keeping these	Within OBP, we will look to harmonise
	distinctions?	the units so that OBP will treat them
		equally within the process but when
		communicating to providers, will do so
		in the manner that is needed. Initially,
		the migration of NBM (from ASDP) and
		BM (from the BM system) will need to
		maintain the separation, but OBP will

•



move to an agnostic and harmonised approach when possible.

27 Nov Do you have a day and time for publication of the LCP skip rate report? (Should we expect 5pm on Friday?)

At the time of publishing the Q&A, the LCP report has now been published on the NESO website and can be accessed here. You can read more about skip rates here.

27 Nov Are there any plans / reviews/
updates to remove the zones?
The arbitrary split of battery and
small BMU zone seems unneeded
now with your automated
tooling + the fact the prices are
higher based on which one you
are in seems a worse outcome
overall for consumers.

We have identified this in our backlog for beyond 2025 once we have fully transformed to the Open Balancing Platform. Currently we have to send data between the two systems and we need to break that link to do this work.

27 Nov Will ABSVD be applied equally to BM and Non-BM assets in the future? I know this is something NESO previously wanted.

Thank you for your question – we are currently looking into this and will provide a response shortly.

27 Nov **Y**

You are creating an entire new interface for OBP/ASDP with A LOT of changes - the overwhelming feedback from MPs has been to not do this on SOAP. If you are planning to change this in 2026, we need a very good reason for why MPs are going to have spend huge amounts of time/ money just to redo it - (thoughts?)

As a programme we committed to honouring existing interfaces in order to ensure we continue to deliver on our regulatory commitments and customer requirements detailed in our Markets Roadmap (e.g., new reserve and response products) - changing the interfaces now would likely cause a delay in these services going live. We will undertake a review of our interface requirements in the next regulatory period in 2026.



27 Nov Can we expect more non BM dispatch from Summer 25 with the upcoming optimiser?

Room operational usage for NBM Quick Reserve and Slow Reserve from September 2025. OBP would facilitate the Control Room to making those decisions and the benefits that OBP has already delivered for the battery and Small Zone will be afforded to NBM.

27 Nov Will sFFR be migrated from ASDP to OBP along with Dynamic Response?

Static FFR is not managed through ASDP or OBP currently. The procured amounts of static FFR will be communicated still to operators to maintain situational awareness

27 Nov Are there plans to make the additional forecasting data available to the market via BMRS? Such as the higher interval forecasts, more zonal data or the ranges given by the ensembles?

Thank you for the suggestion we will take it away and see how/when we can implement this

As part of our requirements for Electricity System Restoration Standard (ESRS) regional demand data will be made available on BMRS. However, the NESO Data Portal is our platform for publishing additional supplementary data. This could be an interesting topic for our next Forecasting Forum to pick up the value and benefits associated with sharing specific data so that we can prioritise this internally.

27 Nov When will the extra resource in the control room to reduce battery skips as committed to in last month's round table become permanent? As just heard the resource is not yet there for every shift?

We have undertaken a recruitment process and some engineers are still training. We are covering the need for battery despatch over the most needed times of the day, which for winter are morning demand rise and evening peak. We will optimise resource to meet



the needs of the system which may mean at times 24/7 or extended 12-hour days. We expect engineers to have completed their full training in early spring.

27 Nov Will moving BM registration to the SMP remove the fixed cadence we currently have with the SORT registration process?

No, the move to the Single Markets
Platform (SMP) will not change the
cadence of SORT Static. This activity is
included in the schedule of work which
coordinates the update, maintenance
and improvement activities carried out
to support the suite of Balancing
Mechanism systems.

27 Nov Currently, what are the barriers to getting export and import 5 minutely battery outturn data similar to pumped storage? Is this something we can expect at some point?

We are presuming this question is in reference to data published on BMRS here:

https://bmrs.elexon.co.uk/generation-by-fuel-type. The basis for the production of this data is the primary fuel type data field. In the case of batteries these are contained within "OTHER" fuel type in the generation by fuel type. We have had previous questions on this subject and are looking to include a category for batteries.

We are developing the implementation plan to ensure the successful implementation of the expansion of fuel type categories available for the Balancing Mechanism and in Elexon data. We appreciate you would like to see this change made quickly but we need to clearly understand the impacts on downstream datasets, systems and tools before we make these changes. We will update at the OTF when we have the timeline for delivery.



27 Nov

Will the improved optimisation in SORT (for next hour) also be applied to SPICE (longer term)? Will this then be migrated into OBP?

National Optimisation in OBP will look at dispatch timeframes initially. Any advice which may impact scheduling decisions will be fed back into the scheduling process. In the first instance this will need to be a process change. As SPICE is replaced by OBP we will be considering a scheduling optimisation capability. This aligns with our Beyond 2025 planning which is currently underway.

27 Nov

Is there any merit in publishing live constraint data in order for the market to help relieve a constraint before it becomes an issue for the control room?

We are currently undertaking a review of the data we can make available to the market to improve dispatch transparency and will feed this into that review. In addition, this suggestion will be fed back to our Markets team who are currently undertaking a Thermal Constraints Collaboration project, further information available here.

26 Sept Do any of the algorithms optimise an instruction across multiple zones, or do they all optimise within a particular zone?

Currently, BM's Legacy Dispatch Algorithm (LDA) optimises across multiple zones and provides a costoptimal power and response loading of each Balancing Mechanism Unit (BMU) to balance generation and demand whilst satisfying constraints and response. This advice is then aggregated to a zonal target in the BM which is also transferred to OBP. OBP provides capability to run an optimisation to meet the zonal target, bulk instructions are created and sent automatically to the selected units. In 2025 a new National Optimiser will be built in OBP which will replace and improve the LDA functionality.



26 Sept

Is the merit order used for constraint management purely based on pricing? Are there any other factors considered or cooptimised (BMU technical characteristics)

The effectiveness of a BMU on the constraint has to be considered. For instance, if one BMU is twice as effective on the constraint compared to another one but isn't twice as expensive then it could be taken ahead of the unit which appears lower on the price stack.

26 Sept What impact do you expect the changes to LDA for the battery zone will have on dispatch rates for battery in the BM?

Previously the control room have been manually estimating zonal targets for the battery zone as advice has not been available, which can be challenging. The LDA changes we are implementing in October will provide the control room with a cost optimal solution to follow.

What net impact should changes to LDA for the battery zone have on dispatch rates for batteries in BM? (expect some situations a manual calc is feasible, some not).

Given the output of the advice currently is manually adjusted, it is difficult to accurately forecast the impact on dispatch rates of the improved advice, but as this change will reduce manual calculations and support improved advice across all zones, it will contribute to improvements in overall dispatch efficiency.

in the number of voided instructions. Is compliance with the ramp rates the main reason

for these voided instructions?

26 Sept Encouraging to see the reduction In part – there are multiple reasons for this, but fundamentally, the need to start and stop on an integer MW or minute drives this. It could be the declared ramp rates that we would need to honour, but also that a unit may be already ramping with a completely different rate.

> An example could be a unit may have a profile where its PN is slowly changing 20MW over 7 minutes. There is no point where the unit is at an integer MW during those 7 minutes. By shifting the start/end point to fit, it may mean that there would be a breach of Minimum (Non) Zero Time which would lead to voiding.

The instruction remediation work has removed much of these issues to the



extent that we have <0.1% void vo

26 Sept What improvements are taking place alongside the systems change to report the costs by constraint boundary as the **Monthly Balancing Services** Summary (MBSS) is too high level.

There are other publications of our cost data and breakdowns of costs. A more detailed breakdown of constraint costs based on significant boundaries can be found by clicking here.

We are always wanting to improve our accessibility to data and visualisations. We will provide updates to these datasets when possible.

26 Sept

There doesn't appear to be any updates in the presentation pack on the LCP report reasons for delay and updated timeline.

When will the LCP analysis on skip rates be published and will the data be refreshed to be up to date?

I believe ESO is seeking to get a better views of skips in real time themselves (rather than ex post assessment) in the control room, any progress on this

In July, we announced a delay to the publication of the independent report on skip rates, due to the knock-on impacts of complications with data processing by our third-party provider.

Since then we have continued to work closely with LCP Delta to ensure that an updated report and suite of findings can be presented to the industry as soon as feasible. Additional data validation and report assurance activities continue to take place.

We understand the level of interest in the report and apologise for the inconvenience caused by this delay.

The methodology is due to be demonstrated to industry during the week commencing 04/11.

The actual date and time will be advertised at the OTF on 23/10. This initial session will be to explain the methodology employed by LCP to determine skip rates. It will be an MS Teams event, and the expectation is that it will be 45 minutes.

An expressions of interest will also be circulated at OTF on 23/10 giving 2 weeks to register.



Queries can be sent to <u>.box.battery-storage-strategy@nationalgrideso.com</u> and <u>Box.Battery-Storage-</u>
<u>Strategy@uk.nationalenergyso.com</u>.

We will be publishing the full report in November following the webinar.

We are continuing with all other initiatives to drive down and understand root causes of skips. This includes improvements to dispatch algorithms, delivery of live dispatch efficiency tools and bolstering the headcount within the shift Energy teams in our control room.

26 Sept Is there an update on the resourcing in the control room (extra people) that are supporting the control room on the skip rate issue?

We have undertaken a recruitment campaign recently, and new full timetime engineers have accepted roles within the control room. In addition, we have agreed internal secondment opportunities to the control room, Individual start dates are a bit flexible and are tailored to training and business release dates.

27 June With respect to constraint management - if an asset is in a constrained zone and marked as 'in constraint' what does that mean? e.g., would you take bids but not offers?

It means that if it is an export constraint we won't move the unit up, and if the opposite, we won't move the unit down. We take into account the direction of the constraint. The demand pattern may mean the constraint is temporary - it is determined in SORT.

27 June Please can you talk through the changes that have increased the volume and number of system-flagged actions being delivered by batteries and how this might change in the future.

Please can you talk through the changes that have increased the volume and number of system
Thank you for your question – we are currently looking into this and will provide a response shortly.

•



27 Mar For the planned Non-BM dispatch functionality, how will

be shared?

We are working on the "Discovery" of non-BM onboarding roadmap, in line real-time dispatch transparency with the rest of the OBP Roadmap. Further details will be shared once the functionality and integration are finalised.

> For current system dispatch, ASDP instructions are published on the Data Portal within 1 minute. We expect to publish similarly when issuing instructions using OBP, but subject to Discovery. We also have our Operational Transparency Forum which can be used to answer questions on dispatch of non-BM assets.

27 Mar When will arming instructions be published from a transparency perspective?

We have started to publish inter-trip arming data on the portal since 2 weeks ago. The data is located here https://www.nationalgrideso.com/dataportal/constraint-managementintertrip-service-information-cmis

The files are updated monthly.

Wasn't the LCP analysis due in 27 Mar December 2023? Please can you explain what has delayed this so much?

LCP analysis phase I was due to complete in December and has been completed. We are continuing to work with LCP Delta on a second phase of the analysis to ensure the methodology is consistent, its more granular, and includes essential operational data. The methodology has been going through an iterative validation process with our data scientists and Control Room teams over the last couple of months and will be published in May based on a revised plan of delivery with LCP Delta. In addition, key resources within the ESO have been focusing on other industry priorities including GC0166 and the change of the 15-minute rule to 30 minutes which have impacted this delivery.





Will small BMUs be scheduled 11 Dec for, e.g., the evening peak, then

dispatched using bulk dispatch.

be scheduled and then dispatched using OBP. The Control room have all had training and have been asked to use OBP as their first dispatch tool for

If small BMUs are in merit then they will

both the Small BMU and Battery zones.

What is the timescale for 11 Dec

implementing any changes following the Dec 15th MEL/MIL

guidance?

We aim to publish this guidance on the w/c 19th December. This is slightly later than originally planned as we had to include EDT guidance too, following

11 Dec What testing has been done to

ensure that the BMRS and other transparency platforms can handle the ~100x increase in BOA Elexon. data, given they're already

Testing was undertaken with multiple software providers of the EDT/EDL, market participants, and also with

feedback from stakeholders.

11 Dec How many ZBEs are there now

struggling with MELS?

each look after?

There are two Zonal Balancing and what zones/geography does Engineers (assistant National Balancing Engineers) and one National Balancing Engineer. The Zonal Balancing Engineer south dispatches the South Conventional Zone, South Wind Zone and the small BMU zone. The Zonal Balancing Engineer North dispatches the North Conventional zone and North Wind zone. The National Balancing Engineer dispatches the pumped storage zone and the Battery zone (both

11 Dec With so many BOAs published,

will the Operational

Transparency Dataset still be kept up to date with Alternative

BMU actions?

Yes, we don't anticipate any changes to the existing transparency dataset due to OBP go-live.

these zones are national).

28 Nov From Summer 24 will all wind

BMU be instructed to follow PN when necessary, or just those in a particular zone / region?

We don't intend to change the way we manage wind BMUs from an external standpoint. Our release in 2024 is designed to alleviate workload in the control room by automating the actions they take now.





i ubiic		
28 Nov	How will the Fast Dispatch functionality (expected Spring 2024) impact on battery dispatch?	Fast dispatch provides an enhanced optimisation algorithm targeting the flexibility of fast acting units. This will enable the National Balancing Engineer to manage frequency control using OBP in the first instance and will replace functionality currently provided by Vergil.
28 Nov	Can batteries and small BMUs in new zones be filtered by location to manage constraints from 12 December?	All units within a constraint boundary can be identified by a price stack within the current BM systems. If units are tagged as system within a constraint OBP will be made aware of these and will not dispatch those units.
28 Nov	More detail on the scheduling of storage would be helpful	We currently do not have visibility of battery reserve and do not have bulk dispatch capability. We are delivering bulk dispatch and in parallel are undertaking some quantitative analysis to enable the ESO to schedule reserve on batteries based on historic performance. This policy change will go-live once approved and close to the time of OBP Bulk Dispatch going live. A system change has been implemented in the BM to enable scheduling of some storage.
28 Nov	Can batteries be used for constraints management by August 2024?	Yes, they can be. If units are behind constraints, they can be tagged as system and excluded from optimisation. However, there is the opportunity to issue manual instructions.
28 Nov	Can control room still dispatch batteries that are in OBP zones manually?	Yes, they can. All assets can still be dispatched via SORT.

28 Nov It is a fact that energy data transparency leads to more efficient system & lower costs to consumers...what is ESO doing now to release OBP data real time?

All instructions sent from OBP to BM and on to market participants are published on the BMRS system. The programme continues to be as transparent as possible publishing information on our website and via these engagement events. If there are more specific



requirements, please provide your feedback and we will consider this.

We will consult internally around future data transparency plans, e.g., for NBM data.

28 Nov Will you publish which BOAs were submitted by BDO vs manually?

The systems involved do hold confidential data and are part of Critical National Infrastructure. We will consult internally around future data transparency plans including this request. Thanks for the feedback.

28 Nov Demystifying dispatch: could you publish "requirements" as generated by LDA and as fed into BDO? Real-time ideally, ex-post would also be valuable.

The systems involved do hold confidential data and are part of Critical National Infrastructure. We will consult internally around future data transparency plans including this request. Thanks for the feedback.

15 June Great stats on increase in battery dispatch. Is it possible for future updates to include comparison with other technologies (e.g. CCGTs) and perhaps MWh/MW?

Great stats on increase in battery dispatch. Is it possible for future updates to include comparison with otherVery good suggestion, looking at what can share and overlay. And sharing in other forums. Anymore suggestions let us know.

15 June How does the NBE construct programmes for tech grouped zones (Small BMU/BESS) when either zone could flex more or less? Isn't that is what the BDO is designed for?

The current Balancing Mechanism (BM) System has a despatch algorithm which calculates the programmes for each individual zone. The despatch algorithm runs every 5 minutes. The National Balancing Engineer (NBE) checks the programmes and then issues them to the Zonal Balancing engineers. Once the programmes are accepted by the Zonal Balancing Engineers, they will they then transfer automatically to OBP. The Bulk Despatch Optimiser will sit in OBP and will develop an optimised set of BOAs which are automatically sent back to the BM systems. They are then issued to the BMUs via EDL.

15 June How do you consider long

We are very careful with our decisions to



actions such as warming thermal plant with respect to skip rates? Pre-procuring headroom means flex doesn't even get chance to be skipped. either warm or stand down coal Prior to warming coal units, the availability of flexible units is considered in the System Operating Plan and can be used to reduce reserve requirements in scheduling timescales. Warming coal may take place up to and beyond 12 hours ahead of real-time and invariably there are occasions where changes can occur via forecasts, redeclarations of BMUs or on the hourly intraday gates which influence decisions closer to realtime. There have been occasions where coal has been stood down and subsequently flexible units have also redeclared their availability down prior to the peak. This is a risk which needs managing and can result in running higher cost units in contingency or Short-Term Operating Reserve to maintain margins.

15 June

NBE has more advanced tools for dispatching – can you elaborate Expectation of industry that batteries are going to be dispatched more efficiently. Worried that batteries will be ignored if not in Small BMU Zone

Follow up question – hopefully better with multi dispatch Want to see a more efficient utilisation of storage A decision was taken earlier this year to move the batteries into a separate zone on the NBE desk, with the intention of improving the despatch of the batteries. The NBE uses an additional tool Vergil which has also been developed this year to enable more efficient despatch of batteries. This despatch performance of batteries has improved with these changes. Following feedback at out latest Industry event we have agreed to prioritise inclusion of the battery zone in the OBP December release, however this is a stretch target for the team and we will confirm in the months ahead.

Based on our experience from previous deliveries and in-line with our agile methodologies, our aim is to deliver value early and incrementally, in order to prove that our solutions meet required outcomes in the most efficient and cost-effective way.



15 June

The skip rate figure considers limitations in tools available to the Control Room like valid reasons (not a skip). This definition completely misses the point.

We do recognise this feedback and the limitations both in the systems and in how this is reported. We are talking around the 10% of actions where the dispatch transparency dataset has a code allocated or not.

We understand human errors happen but care about improvements to ensure dispatching is in merit order. Can skips be redefined to reflect the reality? The quoted 0.4% is not what is going on.

We will be engaging further on how we explain our actions and any updates to the dispatch transparency dataset and reason codes to be more transparent in this space.

9 Feb Do you have any stats on how effective the recent changes made have been on reducing skips rates - especially for batteries!

We do not currently have stats on this. Our dispatch transparency dataset tracks the number of unallocated skips - from October we've seen between 0.4 and 0.3% of actions which are unallocated reason codes. We do not break this down by technology type.

To be clear, we are seeking to reduce unallocated skips, there will likely always be occasions when we will need to take actions out of merit depending on the operational situation.

9 Feb Can we change the definition of a skip to cover reasons under Frequency - time to make and efficiency of dispatch process?

Thanks for the feedback, we will this away and will try to make the terms we use for the classifications more specific decision, complexity of decisions and try to explain logic behind skips in more detail.

There is a miss match between 9 Feb industry's definition of a skip vs ESO's definition of a skip. Can we provide additional narrative?

We will continue to publish reason codes for action out of merit order - our regularly reported evidence 2E in our monthly report has between 0.3-0.4% of actions taken out of merit which do not have a reason code assigned.

Over the next financial year, we will work to provide additional information and





clarification on our despatch decisions and resulting actions.

In the September example, 3 of around 2700 total actions did not have a reason code assigned. Providing specific additional narrative against this small number of actions is resource intensive and outweighs the benefit we believe would be achieved.

9 Feb Skip rate explanations are qualitative. Tesla would like more objective, measurable metrics around skips. They believe that 70% of actions outside of merit order are marginal and could be interpreted as skips.

We'd welcome additional ideas for metrics that would be of use to the industry so please do engage and give us your ideas. We'd like to understand what additional transparency you'd like to see and the benefit behind this for the industry.

Our new platform will give us auditable reasons for some of the actions taken (documented, logic based bulk dispatch decisions). Moving towards this means the reasons are captured at the time of the decision, providing greater insight into dispatch decisions.

Systems

Received (Question	Answer
s c	The OBP will bring together BM and Non-BM activities into one system, does that mean that all instructions will look the same?	Within OBP, whilst there is an architectural principle known as "Harmonisation" to allow OBP to treat units equally such as within optimisation or price stacks, OBP will honour the instruction types for NBM and BM. For example, NBM receive open instructions, and are Service based, and BM have closed BOA.



OBP will "de-harmonise" before sending instructions to units in the manner that are expected.

26 Sept Are there future plans to upgrade/replace EDL/EDT?

Our current focus is to transition EDL/EDT across to OBP as is, honouring the existing interfaces. Beyond BP3 we will begin looking at how we might improve the interfaces. Changes to the interfaces at this stage could cause delays to Market Services introduction of new services and increase cost for providers in the near term.

26 Sept Can we expect any changes to the SORT upload for new BMUs in the future? Will new BMUs need to follow the SORT upload dates or can we expect them to become more frequent as the changes are implemented.

Whilst OBP and BM are operating in parallel, the SORT update timelines will need to continue to be followed. In the future, the registration and prequalification processes will transition to the new platforms including Single Markets Platform (SMP) and would be integrated directly with OBP. This will allow for a more flexible and frequent onboarding process.

The architecture to support this is within 2025 timeframe, however, changes to the registration process will be part of wider NESO and industry work.

26 Sept As far as I know, there is only one uploaded video of the 'real' OBP in action. It was very helpful to see how the platform is used to generate instructions to the different zones. Are there any plans to upload other videos to display how the platform is used for constraints? This is very valuable and appreciated, and makes it very tangible!

We're very glad you find the demo videos useful!

OBP demo (working) videos are premiered in the Balancing Programme in-person events and are shared afterwards with the material.

The most recent instance was in the June event where Fast Dispatch was demonstrated, comparing with the Target Optimisation model delivered on the first release in December 2023. Prior, we had shared how the R1.0 OBP operates, and



during our run up to R1.0, we shared out path to first release.

We will have another OBP demo video for the next Balancing Programme in person event in November 2024.

You can access previous videos from event content here.

26 Sept Where is the link to the system constraints video?

You can access the video by clicking here.

26 Sept Re optimisation - will OBP optimise individual problems (e.g., constraints) or will it provide an optimised solution for all problems faced at a point in time?

Thank you for your question – we will provide a response shortly.

26 Sept Excellent run-through from
Bernie of how Control Room
plans / manages constraints
and how this dovetails with
BDO was very good. Please
could we see a more detailed
run through at the next inperson event?

Thank you for the feedback, we are really pleased to hear you found the Constraint Management section useful. We will look to host a further breakout session on constraint management at the November 2024 event.

26 Sept When do you believe the national optimiser will be able to optimise energy dispatch in a quicker time frame than the 5 minutes?

Thank you for your question – we will provide a response shortly.

26 Sept Re: Wind forecasting improvements, you mentioned improving the quality of outage data. Can you say more? Can this be published live so that everyone benefits?

The new platform is better integrated with our own and external system. The outage data we use is mainly provided by the industry to us.

Outage data comes in many forms with different types of outages, and it is not necessarily owned by the NESO, some of





this is already published and therefore available.

Some data can be related to system security which we have access to but would not be able to share.

26 Sept Will there be an option in OBP for the Control Room operator to automatically extend the instructions, similar as possible for wind behind constraints?

Yes – within OBP's backlog is the ability to manage requirements in a more automatic manner. This may be extension of individual or sets of instructions, or automatic extension of requirements which would lead to optimisation and extension of instructions, or reduction/increase of instructions.

This aspect has previously been shared in Balancing Programme Industry Quarterly engagement events and external groups such as the Wind Advisory Group and Technical Advisory Council.

26 Sept Do improvements across forecasting, scheduling and optimisation systems enable improved calculation of Loss of Load Probability (LOLP)?

The loss of Load Probability (LOLP) calculation is a dynamic calculation which reflects the uncertainty of demand and generation/energy resources. More accurate demand forecasts and generator availability submissions would both improve the accuracy of the derated margin and LOLP forecasts because they would effectively tighten the probability distributions used in the calculations and so reduce the error or variance in the distributions and hence in the calculation results. There is unlikely to be any impact of improved scheduling and optimisation as these are about meeting the requirements not defining it.



27 June Do ESO have detailed worked a day is managed from Dayahead through to delivery, including different stages of the legacy systems / process through to OBP BDO / FD timescales. If not, how best can we learn about this / who best

We have provided previous webinar examples of how a day / part of regarding our control centre scheduling and dispatch processes, and these are recorded. Here is a link - Dispatch <u>Transparency Event 23.06.02</u> - we will consider internally how we might do another learning session in the future. Thank you for the feedback.

I'm making an observation about the terminology you used - is there any documentation about how you actually manage the system? Where the legacy systems start and end and where OBP comes in with actual examples. That would help us at these sessions to be up to speed more quickly.

at ESO to contact about this?

27 June Will OBP drive to lower balancing cost?

Over time OBP is designed to reduce balancing costs by providing Control Room engineers with improved decision support tools and better visualisation across BMUs and non-BMUS and multiple services for energy, response and reserve.

27 June Is there anything that providers have to change on their systems ahead of the **EDL/EDT transition?**

For both EDT and EDL the interface protocols will remain the largely the same. Each participant will be required to prove their ability to connect to the new OBP system prior to cut-over. There will be a series of opportunities before go-live for this test to be performed.

Ahead of the Market Participant tests we will be working with all EDT/EDL software suppliers to prove their software against the new system.



We will be making contact will all participants to establish the correct points of contact and will then update on our plans as the dates become available.

27 June Could you please give more details on planned developments for constraints management? Is it going to be a separate zone with batteries for each zone or any other solution?

We have split developments in constraint management into two stages. Currently we use a "node and line" model for constraints. In the first stage we are looking at ways to improve this so that bulk dispatch can take into account the time varying nature of constraints. In the second stage we are working with colleagues from another programme to use a new "look ahead" capability to predict future constraints using a full network model

27 June With the OBP changes
mentioned in the Current
System presentation, will the
change actually deliver
instructions across all assets /
zones or is this a change that
will happen subsequently? It
was slightly unclear on the
slide. Is this expected to
increase in merit dispatch?

OBP will be receiving more data from our current systems so that OBP has more visibility across all zones. This is required for constraint monitoring across the national network. This also builds our capability so that in the future OBP will be able to send manual instructions in other zones.



27 June Why do you need to maintain the concept of separate zones?
Surely the optimisation, and best / most economic outcome, will be achieved by having all units together? The distinction feels arbitrary and limiting so any extra context if

Is there a future scenario
where Battery and OBP zone
could be combined to one
OBP Zone? If not is this an OBP
shortfall or a market/logistical
requirement?

there is any would be helpful.

The configuration of zones in the Balancine Mechanism is historic and not all zones are locational. The small BMU zone, Battery Zone, Interconnector Zone and STOR zones are all national, Wind is split into two zones North and South and we have conventional generation which is split into North and South. There is a national dispatch algorithm which sits above these zones which sets the target for each zone. Instructions are issued per zone to manage workload. There is a future capability which will bring assets within a single group for National Optimisation at the instruction stage but this is later in the roadmap. We need to move functionality across in pieces and have prioritised the Battery and small BMU zones which are the only zones to currently have a bulk dispatch optimisation. We also need to bring non-BM services into OBP to have all assets within OBP before considering National Optimisation for instructions. Any changes to market design could also impact the roadmap and the design of future zone management.

27 June For wind BMU's, you talk about using rules / heuristics. Are you considering alternative ways to manage them better, for example using more real time data and parameters, similar to limited duration assets?

We are currently looking into improving both the forecasting capabilities for wind, and the process of dispatching based on underlying uncertainty.

27 Mar A mapping of the new and old platforms would be useful

We have given some high-level views in previous engagements (see December 2023, slide 10). I'm sure you will appreciate we do not give too much detail as these systems are part of Critical National Infrastructure.

•



27 Mar Can I please clarify when
Dynamic Services for NonBalancing Mechanism
Participants will transition to
OBP? It was mentioned in the
OTF last week that it might
happen this year but my
understanding from today is
that it will happen in Autumn
2025?

We did look at the possibility of moving response earlier but we realised we could not make the necessary architectural changes to support this and so after evaluation we reverted to our original plan.

27 Mar RDP Can the DSO handle the situation where an ESO trip instruction affects distribution security? Do we need advanced control at DSO level with the interface to the ESO. Important as more DER connected and covering OBP DER instruction

Under MWD the ESO doesn't trip the DER but they are reduced in output to zero using the DNO DERMS / ANM. Both partner DNOs involved in MWD so far have built in safeguards at their end to ensure that a MWD instruction will not impact distribution security. The DNO also has an option to make an asset unavailable to the ESO for MWD instruction ahead of time, or in real time, which gives the DNO the ultimate control over the use of an asset in MWD.

The RDP, N-3 Operational Tripping Scheme (OTS) has been carefully considered from its inception. The use of N-3 to secure the network is evaluated and coordinated in operational planning timescales between the ESO and DSOs and in operational timescales the ESO contacts the potentially impacted DNO/DSO to get approval to arm the N-3 intertrip on embedded generators. It is the DNO/DSO who confirm that their network is secure and that their operations will not be adversely impacted by the potential triggering of the intertrip.

RDPs are being considered and slowly migrated as appropriate into the OBP space. Please refer to the regularly updated roadmap for details.





27 Mar Can you please explain in a
little more detail what 'Bulk
MVAR dispatch' involved and
how the performance savings
were achieved?

Previously Control engineers needed to issue individual instructions to generators to either import or export MVARs. This was done practically by issuing manual instructions from different screens within the BM and due to the time it takes to navigate between the screens they operated with a large volume of screens open. The improved functionality reduces the number of screens and key stroke actions required by control room engineers to dispatch MVARs to generators.

27 Mar Not a question but just a comment that slide 13 (the OBP release plan timeline showing changes compared to last time in green/red) is really helpful, thank you!

Thank you!

27 Mar Please can you explain what activities are included in the 'Constraint Management' programme? (as the timeline shows this +1 delay on the timeline). Thank you

Firstly, we are moving across constraint management for the majority of BMUs and this work is currently in progress. The next phase looks at Wind and requires forecasting capability. So, although we have delayed constraint management by one season, we will get early value but the full benefit is not expected for another season.

27 Mar Regarding the movement of constraint management by I season - what would the impact be on constraint management costs given that this has been quite a concern?

This constraint management piece of work essentially moves across our current constraint management processes from the BM to the Open Balancing Platform. Prior to this delivery the Vergil Dispatch tool for Wind will remain available to the control room to help minimise constraint costs until Bulk Dispatch capability of wind is built in OBP. We have taken a decision to bring forward the capability of issuing all instructions in OBP to de-risk failure modes when OBP Strategic goes live. Having all



instructions available from one place also improves the control room transition allowing better situational awareness and positive benefits. We are evaluating the balance in these two cases.

27 Mar What does 'Automatic restrictions to inter-trips' stand for?

This is a control mechanism whilst OBP is co-running with other systems to ensure that OBP does not include a unit that is subject to an inter-trip contract within a separate instruction.

27 Mar When exactly will ADSP retire, is there a firm date yet?

We are currently expecting to retire ASDP by the end of 2025 after the slow and quick reserve services are live, and the MW dispatch and dynamic response have migrated to OBP which is due to be delivered in the Autumn of 2025.

27 Mar Is the EAC the same as the OBP?

No. The Enduring Auction Capability (EAC) is an auction system to deliver cooptimised procurement for our day-ahead Frequency Response and Reserve products.

The results of EAC (such as awarded contracts) are integrated with our systems including, but not limited to OBP, BM and Settlement systems.

27 Mar What Integration Patterns will be available for Integrating with OBP services?

To minimise impact on industry participants, OBP will support the existing BM and NBM integration patterns – EDL/EDT and Wider Access API for BM, and NBM/ASDP Web Service integration for non-BM. In the future, we will be discussing options to implement new integration patterns.

The Technology Stakeholder Focus Group will be the forum where future integration patterns can be discussed – it has its next meeting on the 22 April 2024. You can sign up to this forum via the following link:

. Public



Balancing Programme Stakeholder Focus
Groups.

27 Mar Will OBP hosted on the public Cloud? if yes, then which cloud platform is selected?

No. OBP is hosted on a dedicated platform within multiple data centres to meet Critical National Infrastructure requirements.

With the planned speed at which multiple changes are planned, what contingencies are there if any developments are delayed? Also, a request to please provide industry with as much technical specification as soon as possible in advance, as there will likely be considerable work also for providers in order to interact with the new systems.

The BM systems will continue to be maintained and remain the master system for despatch. This will remain the case throughout 2024. If there are delays with OBP developments, then the BM system can still be used. The Balancing Programme has an ambitious plan to replace functionality in the BM and is currently running on track.

We will engage as early as we can regarding technical specifications and any changes that impact customers. We run a technology forum and commit to discuss technology changes within this forum as well as through our wider industry engagement. Please contact the box.balancingprogramme@nationalgrides o.com for further information.

28 Nov Are there plans to change GC and technical systems to allow decimal BM dispatch?

Not currently, this is a big change which would impact both BM and settlement systems. It needs to be discussed more widely to understand the benefits and when it may be appropriate to do that. OBP has been designed to be able to provide sub-MW optimisation and is future proofed if that change was implemented.

28 Nov We all hope for 12/12 We can date...however, IF operationally 12/12/23.
not possible, please advise 6/12
OTF on new date...in new year

We can confirm OBP went live on the 12/12/23.



please (9/1?) so we have support ourselves(!)

28 Nov Great to see UAT is going well.

Why 25 to 50 instructions per run? Is this what the system needs or driven by the limits of the OBP lite, or something else? This is driven by the typical requirement a Balancing Engineer would dispatch to rather than a limitation of OBP lite. The optimiser and instruction algorithm could create more instructions but a larger requirement may adversely affect frequency if dispatched in that way.

28 Nov Are there plans to revise EDL and provided clients to be

more resilient to the increased number of BOAs and MELs/MILs?

Not part of our current roadmap, we have said we will honour existing interfaces and will continue to work on that basis, unless something changes. The Technology stakeholder group will be the right place for these conversations in the future, as it will require an industry-wide change.

28 Nov No functional change for EDT? What about new API to

interface to OBP?

There are no changes to EDL/EDT in OBP R1.0. OBP will be taking over EDL/EDT for resiliency in 2025. In the future, we are looking at potential changes to the integration subject to industry consultation, but our initial position is to honour the interfaces as they are now.

28 Nov Will File Transfer Protocol (FTP) be removed (and when) as

underlying technology for EDT message processing? Asking because of issues with EDT not being acknowledged in time.

Not included in our roadmap at present, but we should include in the Technology stakeholder group conversations.

28 Nov Will you consult on design of new APIs replacing ASDP before they reach testing stage? We would like to avoid

some problems in the design of the existing APIs.

We will welcome feedback on what those issues are, and we should discuss this within our technology stakeholder forum to understand any issues with current designs. Our approach is to honour existing interfaces.



Publ	ic .	
28 N	What is the best way for participants to engage with the ESO on the 'axe the fax' work? Is there a focus group which covers this?	Technology Forum – Fax replacement was discussed at the first meeting. You can find the details on our <u>website</u> .
28 N	When will the revised MIL/MEL guidance for batteries participating in BM be published, and where?	We aim to publish this guidance on the w/c 19th December. This is slightly later than originally planned as we had to include EDT guidance too, following feedback from stakeholders. The guidance will be published on our website, an email with the link to it will be sent out to our Balancing Programme distribution list.
15 Ju	une What is your plan for achievin BM/non-BM combined dispatch? I.e. is there a roadmap for integrating OBP with ASDP?	g We are currently undertaking discovery and analysis to inform the decommissioning plan and migrations to OBP, we don't have a confirmed timeline yet, current projections are to initiate transition in late 2024 and complete by the end of 2025, but we will provide more details at our next quarterly event.
15 Ju	une When will BM and NBM STOR migrate onto OBP?	See above for timelines of migrations to OBP.
	Will the OBP use the same API as ASDP?	In terms of ASDP Web services, ESO is committed to continue to support the existing interfaces, however, are mindful that there are discussions/requests to change to newer integration protocols (moving away from SOAP etc.). We plan to set up an IT stakeholder Forum to consider this as part of their remit.
15 Ju	une How will OBP interact with NGESO planning horizons?	The introduction of OBP will any not change any current processes in regard to our planning horizons.
15 Ju	une Do you have any information around the depreciation and replacement of PAS?	We are currently undertaking discovery and analysis to inform the decommissioning plan and migrations to OBP, we don't have a confirmed timeline yet, current projections are to initiate transition in late 2024 and complete by the

end of 2025, but we will provide more



details at our next quarterly event.

15 June Are you trying to reduce the cost and power demand of your data processing costs, or

is this currently being seen as negligible cost?

Data processing costs are not negligible for the solutions we are looking to deliver. Cost reduction is not a main driver in our plans, however, we work on the principle of delivering solutions that meet our requirements and that are cost effective and deliver value for money, e.g. moving PEF to our strategic Cloud solution.

15 June Can the ESO provide a timeline of OBP releases and what the expected impact/improvement is for providers at each release?

The roadmap provides a timeline of the new capabilities being delivered by the programme. For more description on each release please see the commentary in this report download (nationalgrideso.com).

Please note the roadmap will be revised following feedback from this industry event.

15 June How will OBP handle instructing from a negative baseline to a positive power? An instruction of this type requires 6 points (points at OMW) but EDL only has 5?

OBP will create instructions that conform to BOA structure. Where a unit is at a negative Physical Notification (PN) and were to be instructed to a positive MW (for a period), and return back to a negative PN, it can be formed using 4 Instruction Points (IPs). There is no need to have an instruction point at 0MW. It should be noted that if an IP is required at 0MW, then we would send an IP for 0MW. If it is simply "passing through" 0MW, then no IP would be sent.

Internally, we do generate a zero point for Settlement purposes (even for "passing through"), but it is not required to be sent as part of the BOA.

Note, if the optimised profile for a unit (from the Optimiser) is complex (i.e. requires more than 5 points), then more than 1 instruction would be created.

15 June above the maximum pricing band volume (MWs) as the

Does OBP allow BM instructions In our first release, OBP will not utilise MWs above the price band. More specifically,



current system does?

where MWs do not have prices asso OBP will not utilise those MWs.

This is to ensure that Deemed Price/MWs are not utilised automatically without Control Room users being aware.

Control Room still have access to MWs without specific prices in BM.

Functionality to handle deemed price/MWs will be included in future OBP releases

15 June Does OBP have a defined threshold value for pricing out above which an asset would never be instructed?

Not in Release 1.0. Control Room will be able to see the prices/cost of proposed instructions as part of the process, and if appropriate remove instructions/units from the instructions to be sent.

15 June Which dynamic parameters will the OBP optimiser use in it's the OBP Optimiser considers: algorithm? Can you provide a guidance document on how each of these parameters is considered?

The following are dynamic parameters that

Stable export limit: SEL

Stable import limit: SIL

Maximum export limit: MEL

Maximum import limit: MIL

Physical notification: PN

Run up rate: RURE (Export) & RURI (Import)

Run down rate: RDRE (Export) & RDRI

(Import)

Minimum flat top time: MFTT (Minimum total length of instructions for a given unit before a change of direction

(Export/Import) can be applied)

Minimum zero time: MZT

Minimum non-zero time: MNZT



Maximum delivery volume offer: der from MEL (implementing the current agreed model for batteries)

Maximum delivery volume bid: derived from MIL (implementing the current agreed model for batteries)

More detail will be given in the Optimisation Stakeholder Group

9 Feb in the near future? Our experience is that it seems to suffer from outages quite often

Are there plans to replace ASDP Yes, our plan is to eventually migrate all services managed through ASDP over to OBP. We are currently in the early stages of planning this transition, what, how, when, so that we have a clear path to deliver this transition, involving system, process and people changes required. At present, we estimate development of ASDP functionality in OBP will commence around Winter 2024 and may take around a year to complete. We will work on the principle of seamless change to market participants, however, as these plans are firmed up, we will share them with industry for feedback and buy in.

> On the feedback about often outages, I would be keen to understand this in more detail, so that we can improve the service provided. We have made improvements to the way we perform routine maintenance changes, reducing the timing, frequency, and length for those.

9 Feb participant side long term? If so, how are you avoiding design limitations like only supporting integers.

Are you keeping EDT/EDL on the For the immediate term we envisage EDL/EDT being retained to provide the functionality needed by ESO and the market. Longer term, our platforms will be reviewed and revised in line with the market needs and technological developments.

9 Feb new set of rules. Where is it

The OBP appears to introduce a If changes to the Grid Code etc are required, we will initiate these in good time.



planned to codify these?

Where code changes are not required, we will publish examples of how we have implemented the codes.

9 Feb

Is there a plan to make the OBP logic auditable? OBP will evolve, industry participants need a way to stay informed about the current logic and proposed changes.

We intend to have interactives days where participants can observe test cases and submit their own. In addition, we will publish details of the implemented logic on our external website.

Markets

Received	Question	Answer
26 Sept	Thank you for showing the	Quick reserve phase 1 is expected to get
	Release Plan. On BM Quick Reserve, the plan shows	an OFGEM decision at the end of October.
	December 2024 go live, but	In terms of capability, the auction
	previously the ESO said	platform will go live in mid-November
	November - is a delay expected?	(opening 14 days ahead of first the
		auction). The first auction (co-optimised
		with Response) service is expected to take place in early December 2024
		•
		OBP is technically ready for the product.
27 June	How many MWs expected to be	Although not firm we expect that the
	procured of quick reserve from	requirements will be ~500MW positive and
	day one, and what's the long-	~300MW negative. We will update the
	term procurement objective for the service?	market through our usual Market
	the service.	Information Reports (MIR).
27 June	Are ESO concerned with about	The ESO is the residual balancer where
	the potential loss of flexibility if	the market is resolving the majority of
	energy suppliers agree long	issues before the ESO needs to be
	term contracts with large volume of batteries for balancing their own supply/demand?	involved. If the ESO is receiving a more
		balanced market as a result, then this
		potentially reduces the amount of
	11 //	residual balancing. We do value having
		flexibility to control assets in the BM. In



terms of energy margins and according to the Winter Outlook report the margins for this winter are sufficient.

27 June Once OBP replaces SORT, will there be a more efficient, regular onboarding process to register new BMUs into ESOs systems?

We recognise that BM registration is an area where we and all our stakeholders would like to see improvements. We are looking at how we take forward a piece of work in this area and we have committed resource to take this forward. Very happy to hear from you all on what good looks like in this space so please do come and have a conversation with us.

27 Mar Does MW dispatch not introduce another market distortion? Why not make BM and other flex markets easier to enter and more appealing rather than relying on unpaid flex (ANM) or a ringfenced market (MW dispatch)? Why is there not an equivalent option for demand

turn up in these areas?

MW Dispatch does not introduce a market distortion. It is a congestion management service, specifically targeted to allow faster connections in otherwise congested zones. As with other constraint dispatch activities, the dispatched volumes are posted to the BMRA.

MW Dispatch is an important pilot providing practical design solutions to primacy and stackability, and these learning points are being utilised as part of wider ENA industry design activities and will feed into other service design considerations over time.

The service itself allows for easy participation for DERs without needing the IT infrastructure required to participate in the BM and is an engine for greater integration between nascent DSO and ESO coordinated control.

Whilst some ANM services imposed by DNOs or the ESO are uncosted, the nature of these services is made clear to connection applicants ahead of time and



their existence is there to permit connection.

MW-Dispatch is geographically restricted to areas experiencing congestion but is not ring-fenced and with future work on primacy and stackability, should allow greater ability to participate in other balancing service markets in parallel in the future.

The ability to include other types of DER including demand-flexibility and storage in MW-Dispatch is a strong possibility as part of future enhancements to the service.

Flexibility services have been developed by the DNO and ESO, often to tackle specific issues in a given locality. Lessons may be learned locally with a specific DNO, solving urgent operational issues and providing learnings which can then be worked into broader solutions. This is the principle of RDP. Learnings about stackability and primacy taken from the development of MW-Dispatch are being considered in wider operability and market rules being developed within the ENA.

On your spring 2025 slide, you mention NBM quick and slow reserve being introduced. Is this the new timeframe for implementation of these

services?

28 Nov

Yes, these are the dates we are working towards. We are hoping to share more details and engage further through the Reserve Reform team during December and January. Delivery of Non-BM for Quick & Slow in Summer 2025 prior to decommissioning of ASDP by the end of 2025 so that there is a transition period for the services.



28 Nov Why is NBM quick reserve delivered later than BM quick reserve?

Mainly due to the need to integrate the products in our strategic systems rather than legacy systems. BM quick reserve can be supported by OBP in Summer 2024 whereas Non-BM will be supported by OBP in 2025.

15 June When will ESO publish more accurate forecasts of DR & DM requirements, as currently the procurement does not relate accurately to the blanket forecast numbers?

The forecasts that we publish are the target volume that we aim to procure in these markets, this is typically (150 DRH, 180 DRL, 80 DML, 80 DMH). To support efficient auction outcomes, we allow overholding in both DR and DM which means we will procure up to 200MW for DRL/DRH and 100MW for DML/DMH.

15 June There was a delay recently announced to Market Wide Half Hourly metering will this have any material impact on National Grid plans in the run up to 2035?

MWHHS is a key enabler to growing the flexibility markets across GB. Whilst the delay is disappointing, we and industry still know the direction of travel to enabling Consumer Energy Resources to participate.

15 June Deciding to delay products e.g.

Quick/Slow Reserve to avoid
implementing in both the
existing system and the OBP will that lead to any cost savings
overall?

This decision has been taken in light of the significant changes that would have been required in our existing, legacy balancing systems and processes, given the complexity of the new service designs. In the midst of a complex and rapidly evolving systems change environment, we believe it is more prudent to reevaluate these changes to consider if implementation into our legacy systems is still appropriate, as opposed to direct implementation into our Open Balancing Platform (OBP). There are cost savings associated with not developing reserve on legacy systems that would have included some level of regret spend.





Received Question

26 Sept

NGESO is meant to be taking a whole system view, but it seems to have no good view of what is going on in the DNO networks. How are you going to address this?

How does/will Balancing
Programme go beyond the
Transmission System to further
incorporate information from
the distribution system and
distributed assets, improve
coordination and drive whole
system optimisation.

Answer

NESO has established the Distributed Energy Resource (DER) Programme to deliver visibility of and access to DERs and consumer energy resources (CERs) across all timescales (real-time to longterm) - receiving, procuring, storing, analysing, and making decisions on this data – to improve operation of the wholeenergy system. The programme will deliver industry transformation covering NESO business changes, NESO data and systems changes, and industry changes (DNOs, TOs, market participants, and market platforms). This transformation will be led by NESO but includes industry collaboration to be a success.

One of the approaches of the DER
Programme involves alignment with the
Balancing Programme and other
programmes across NESO to ensure
development of digital solutions that
provide visibility and access across
systems, enabling network operators to
manage assets in a more coordinated
manner. These platforms will facilitate
better demand response integration,
allowing distributed assets to participate
in balancing and ancillary services,
ultimately improving flexibility, resilience,
and reducing consumer costs.

The DER Visibility Programme is currently in Phase 3, where it will be designing and delivering the business and technology changes needed to deliver priority use

• • • • • • • • • • •



cases associated with DER visibility and begin to realise some of the near-term benefits.

26 Sept How much impact on balancing costs are you expecting from these improvements in constraint management?

Thank you for your question – we will provide a response shortly.

27 June For the beyond 2025 sessions will you be sharing all the feedback received and the reasons for those that make it into the roadmap?

Thanks everyone for your engagement in the Beyond 2025 session. We will be looking at the content from today very closely and it will help us prioritise our roadmap. Depending on the content we may summarise this into themes or activities we are taking forward and those which we may not at this time, and provide feedback in our November event.

27 Mar Off topic. The Digital Twin Cyber Physical model (Electricity) shows the Digital Spine; Open Data at centre with Resources (Main Gens, DG, Batteries, other DER), DSO and ESO as spoke corrections. They quote ESO Control systems extensively. Any indications to extent of changes to data management?

Thank you for your question - we have passed this on to the relevant team and will update this document with a response shortly.

27 Mar Will the June and November events still have a virtual attendance option to ensure they remain accessible?

We will currently not be offering virtual attendance at our June and November events – we have found that in-person events really benefit from everyone being in the same room together. However, all slide content from these webinars & the Q&A will be shared on our website and newsletter after the event. We have also introduced 2 online webinars, which is new for us this year, to improve accessibility to content. If we believe there is further explanation required from in-person event topics we could consider



sharing recorded versions of key messages post-event.

28 Nov Are we going to need a BSC (or subsidiary document) change to support publishing new data

to support publishing new data items associated with the grid code change on the Balancing Mechanism Reporting Service Yes – we have contacted our ESO colleagues who interface to the Balancing and Settlement Code (BSC) process and our intention is to present to the BSC after the Grid Code modification is accepted.

15 June In claiming carbon reduction benefits, will ESO discriminate on non-price grounds (such as co2 intensity) when making

dispatch decisions?

(BMRS)?

We aim to dispatch in the most economic way, taking account the operational requirements on the day.

At this point in time, carbon intensity does not feature in our dispatch decisions – but you can see the carbon intensity of particular days on our live dashboard.

15 June Does ESO have a published study on the optimum gate closure duration as the generation mix changes (weighing generation variability and system stability)?

We are working with Department for Energy Security and Net Zero on gate closure timing as part of Review of Energy Market Arrangements. But no conclusions have yet to be reached.

15 June When will you increase the procurement cap for DM/DR again, and phase out FFR?

A key milestone in frequency response reform is the phasing-out of monthly Dynamic FFR (DFFR). This will happen gradually as we develop and establish the new pre-fault dynamic frequency response products Dynamic Regulation (DR) and Dynamic Moderation (DM). To enable a measured transition between the legacy and new suite of response services for frequency response providers and the ESO, we intend to reduce our DFFR requirements by 50MW for each EFA block per month whilst increasing the DR requirement by 30MW. Following the change in March 2023 to procure up to





200MW of DR a series of IT changes were required to facilitate further increases to the DR requirement. There is a final IT change that raising the requirement is dependent on to ensure the visibility of non-BM units in balancing systems. This change is on track to take place in July and therefore enable the cap to be lifted from August 2023 onwards.

Further information available here.

9 Feb Is there a timeline for the Enduring Auction Capability module?

We are aiming to have the Enduring Auction Capability platform live later this year.

- In September we will migrate Response services
- In October/November the Reserve services will be live

More information can be found on our website.

<u>Future of balancing services | National</u> <u>Grid ESO</u>

9 Feb I think Rob mentioned earlier than the expected savings of this programme are expected to be ~£2.5bn - can ESO provide any additional information on these costs.

Further information on our costs and benefits can be found in Annex 2: Cost Benefit Analysis, which was submitted alongside our RIIO-2 business plan. These are calculated using a methodology agreed with Ofgem. The link to this document is below.

Annex 2

9 Feb Sorry if I've missed this but is there a set of slides available from the October event? there's a summary video which is helpful, but couldn't find the slides

Yes, these are now published our website.

9 Feb Given the outcomes of the Zuhlke review, have plans changed? What's been ESO's response (beyond the response We agree that technology and data are fundamental to our role and will have



to the DDs)?

greater importance as the energy system becomes increasingly complex.

Given that our technology investments play a central role in enabling substantial consumer benefits, Ofgem applied a higher level of scrutiny to this area of our plans.

As set out in our Draft Determinations (DD) response we challenged some of the technology assessment conclusions. We feel that the assessment of our technology investments in some areas is subjective, incorrect, and not aligned to either energy industry best practice or how technology of this type is typically delivered. In our consultation response supporting information annex we highlighted where we feel assessment of our investments is incorrect.

Since our DD response we have been working with Ofgem to understand the format and scope of technology investment assessments throughout BP2 and how the new proposed cost monitoring framework will aid understanding and discussion on our Technology investments and the key strategic questions we are taking.

•